



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/785,693	02/16/2001	Rocky Stewart	BEAS-01033US5	3894	
75	90 04/15/2005		EXAM	INER	
Sheldon R. Meyer, Esq.			CHANKONG, DOHM		
FLIESLER DUI	BB MEYER & LOVEJO	Y, LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Four Embarcade	Four Embarcadero Center 2152			*****	
San Francisco,	CA 94111-4156		DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/785,693	STEWART ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dohm Chankong	2152	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 3/14/05 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The langle of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this 	dment, affidavit, or other evidence, veal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR ne reply must be filed within one of the final rejection.	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque the following time peri	ication in est for Continued ods:
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	706.07(f). e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origer than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time pe AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	ns of the date of filing	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful and/or (d) They proport additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	•
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	· ——	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-12 and 14-31.		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. 🕅 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paner N	No(s).	

N

13. Other: _____.

Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims introduce new matter not previously claimed. Specifically, the issue that the extensible protocol is further limited to be extended by participants with no changes to the conversation manager. Therefore, a new prior art search and further consideration will be required.